UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/827,512 | 04/19/2004 | Hun-Jung Yi | 8836-242 (IH13145-US) | 3483 |
| | 7590 05/05/2008 SOCIATES, LLC | 008 | EXAMINER | |
| 130 WOODBU | RY ROAD | | WATSON, JOY L | |
| WOODBURY, | N1 11/9/ | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1792 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/05/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,512 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 14, 2008, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- a. In response to applicant's argument that Kamikawa '696 does not disclose or suggest a separation plate with an exhaust path from the drying chamber into the cleaning chamber (first paragraph page 8) because component 140 is not a drying chamber because it is not separated from the cleaning chamber. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The term "drying chamber" does not itself require separation from the cleaning chamber. However, shutter 7 provides such separation. Furthermore, chamber 140 is capable of drying the substrate, and therefore satisfies the limitation.
- b. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).